6.3100: Dynamic System Modeling and Control Design

CT Gain and Phase Margins

October 21, 2024

Controller Design

Goal: Given a system $H(s)$ (the plant), design a controller $K(s)$ to achieve some set of performance goals.



The goals may be specified in the time domain



and/or frequency domain.



PID Controllers

To date, we have focused on PID controllers.

All of our controllers included a proportional term.



Adding a derivative term can increase stability.



Adding an integral term can decrease steady-state errors.



Derivative and integral are **time-domain** descriptions.

Today: focus on **frequency-domain** representations of controllers.

Check Yourself

Consider the magnitude of the frequency responses of four possible controllers.



Which could correspond to a PID controller?

$$K(s) = K_p + sK_d + \frac{K_i}{s}$$

Stability Criteria

To be useful, a controller must make the closed-loop system stable.



Under what conditions will the closed-loop system be stable?

Check Yourself

To be useful, a controller must make the closed-loop system stable.



$$G(s) = \frac{Y}{X}$$

Which (if any) of the following statements are true?

- If $H(s)$ has a pole in the right-half plane, then $G(s)$ is unstable.
- If $H(s)$ has just two poles ($s = \pm j\omega_0$), $G(s)$ will be stable if $K_p > 1$.
- If $K_pH(s) = -1$ for $s = j\omega_0$, then the system cannot be stable.

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

There is a closed-loop pole at every frequency ω_0 for which $K_pH(j\omega_0) = -1$.



From Black's equation,

$$G(j\omega_0) = \frac{K_pH(j\omega_0)}{1 + K_pH(j\omega_0)}$$

If $K_pH(j\omega_0) = -1$, then $|G(j\omega_0)| \to \infty$

But $G(s)$ can also be written as a ratio of first-order factors:

$$G(s) = K\frac{(s - z_1)(s - z_2)(s - z_3)\cdots}{(s - p_1)(s - p_2)(s - p_3)\cdots}$$

and if $G(s) \to \infty$ then $j\omega_0$ is a root of the denominator.

The closed-loop system $G(s)$ must have a pole at $s = j\omega_0$.

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Consider the frequency response of an open-loop system $H(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+1)(s+5)}$.

Is there a frequency ω at which $H(j\omega) = -1$?



Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Consider the frequency response of an open-loop system $H(s) = \frac{1}{s(s+1)(s+5)}$.

$H(j\omega) = -1$?   No. $|H(j\omega_1)| = 1$ and $\angle(H(j\omega_2)) = -\pi$ but $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$





Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $\angle(H(j\omega_0)$ is $-\pi$. The system will be stable if the magnitude of $H(j\omega_0)$ is less than 1 and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 1$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $\angle(H(j\omega_0)$ is $-\pi$. The system will be stable if the magnitude of $H(j\omega_0)$ is less than 1 and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 33$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $\angle(H(j\omega_0)$ is $-\pi$. The system will be stable if the magnitude of $H(j\omega_0)$ is less than 1 and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 1$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $\angle(H(j\omega_0)$ is $-\pi$. The system will be stable if the magnitude of $H(j\omega_0)$ is less than 1 and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 33$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $|H(j\omega_0)| = 1$. The system will be stable if the angle of $H(j\omega_0)$ is greater than $-\pi$ and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 1$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Let ω_0 represent the frequency where $|H(j\omega_0)| = 1$. The system will be stable if the angle of $H(j\omega_0)$ is greater than $-\pi$ and unstable otherwise.

$K_p = 33$



closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Gain and phase margins provide useful stability metrics that can be computed directly from the open-loop frequency response.

$K_p = 1$





closed-loop
step response

Determining Stability from Open-Loop Frequency Response

Gain and phase margins provide useful stability metrics that can be computed directly from the open-loop frequency response.

$K_p = 33$





closed-loop
step response

Lead Compensation

Stability can be enhanced by increasing the gain and/or phase margin using a **compensator** as shown below.



We can use a **lead** compensator to increase the phase margin.

$$L(s) = \left(\frac{p}{z}\right)\left(\frac{s+z}{s+p}\right)$$



Lead Compensation

A lead compensator has no effect on the magnitude or phase at low frequencies.

$$L(s) = \left(\frac{p}{z}\right)\left(\frac{s+z}{s+p}\right)$$



Lead Compensation

A lead compensator can significantly increase phase margin (which is good). Unfortunately, it also reduces the gain margin a bit (which is not so good).

$$L(s) = \left(\frac{p}{z}\right)\left(\frac{s+z}{s+p}\right)$$



When adjusted appropriately, the increase in phase margin can more than compensate for the slight loss of gain margin.

Improving Performance with Lead Compensation

Using a lead compensator with $z = 20$ and $p = 200$ has a very small effect.

$K_p = 20$

$z = 20; \quad p = 200$



closed-loop
step response

Improving Performance with Lead Compensation

The loss of gain margin is severe when $z = 0.5$.

$K_p = 20$

$z = 0.5; \quad p = 5$





closed-loop
step response

Improving Performance with Lead Compensation

The loss of gain margin is severe when $z = 0.4$.

$K_p = 20$

$z = 0.4; \quad p = 4$





closed-loop
step response

Improving Performance with Lead Compensation

The loss of gain margin is severe when $z = 0.35$.

$K_p = 20$

$z = 0.35; \quad p = 3.5$





closed-loop
step response

Improving Performance with Lead Compensation

The system is unstable when $z = 0.34$.

$K_p = 20$

$z = 0.34; \quad p = 3.4$





closed-loop
step response

Check Yourself

What is the relation (if any) between **lead compensation** and **PD control**?

Lead compensation and PD control are ...

- equivalent if the zero in the lead compensator is at infinity
- equivalent if the pole in the lead compensator is at infinity
- equivalent if the zero in the lead compensator is at $-K_p/K_d$
- equivalent if the zero in the lead compensator is at $-K_p/K_d$ and the pole in the lead compensator is at infinity
- never equivalent

Summary

Today we focused on a frequency-response approach to controller design.

Stability criterion: Let ω_0 represent the frequency at which the open-loop phase is $-\pi$. The closed loop system will be stable if the magnitude of the open-loop system at ω_0 is less than 1.

Useful metrics for characterizing relative stability:

- gain margin: ratio of the maximum stable gain to the current gain
- phase margin: additional phase lag needed to make system unstable

Lead compensation can improve performance by increasing phase margin (while also decreasing gain margin slightly).

Next time: root-locus method.