6.3100: Dynamic System Modeling and Control Design

Observer Design

November 25, 2024

Last time, we introduced the notion of an **observer**, which is a **model** of a plant that is used to improve performance of a controller.



We embed both the plant and observer in state-space controllers, so that the simulation can provide estimates of the state $(\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t))$ and input $(\hat{u}(t))$.



If feedback from all of the states in $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is not possible ...



... we can substitute the simulated states $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ for the missing states $\mathbf{x}(t)$. Similarly, we can substitute $\widehat{u}(t)$ for u(t) as well.



... we can substitute the simulated states $\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ for the missing states $\mathbf{x}(t)$. Similarly, we can substitute $\widehat{u}(t)$ for u(t) as well.



Unfortunately the resulting system is **feedforward**. It's utility depends critically on the accuracy of the match between the plant and observer.



Fortunately, we can use **feedback** to measure and correct simulation errors! Calculate the difference between y(t) and $\hat{y}(t)$. Then use that signal (times **L**) to correct $\hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$.



 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Analyze by finding matrix expressions for the derivatives of the states.} \\ \text{Plant dynamics:} & \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_ry_d(t) \\ \text{Simulation dynamics:} & \dot{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_ry_d(t) + \mathbf{L}(y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)) \\ \end{array}$



Combined dynamics of the plant and observer.

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_r y_d(t)$$
$$\dot{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_r y_d(t) + \mathbf{L}\left(y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)\right)$$

Define $\mathbf{e}(t)$ to be the difference between the plant and simulation states:

$$\mathbf{e}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) - \widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$$

Subtract $\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}(t)$ from $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ to find the derivative of $\mathbf{e}(t)$:

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}(t) - \mathbf{L}\Big(y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)\Big) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}(t) - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{e}(t)$$

Append the $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{e}}(t)$ to make a new **combined** state vector:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{e}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(t) \\ \mathbf{e}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} K_r y_d(t)$$

Combined dynamics of the plant and observer.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) \\ \dot{\mathbf{e}}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}(t) \\ \mathbf{e}(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} K_r y_d(t)$$

The poles of this system are the roots of its characteristic equation:

$$\begin{vmatrix} s\mathbf{I} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Because the evolution matrix has block triangular form, the characteristic equation can be factored into two parts:

$$\begin{vmatrix} s\mathbf{I} - \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C} \end{vmatrix} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} s\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}) \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} s\mathbf{I} - (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{L}\mathbf{C}) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

and the poles of the augmented system are the union of the poles of the plant and simulation dynamics.

Furthermore, K can be chosen to optimize A-BK and L can be chosen to optimize A-LC. We've seen the first part of this problem before!

Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) – Redux!

The LQR method minimizes a cost function J that weighs the squares of the state variables $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and input $\mathbf{u}(t)$.

$$J = \int_0^\infty \left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) \, \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) \, \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}(t) \right) dt$$

where $\mathbf{u}(t)$ and $\mathbf{x}(t)$ are related

- by the state transition equation: $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}(t)$ and
- by the feedback constraint: $\mathbf{u}(t) = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}(t)$.

and ${\bf Q}$ and ${\bf R}$ represent weights.

The "optimal" $\,K$ is given by

 $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{S}$

where S is the symmetric $n \times n$ solution to the algebraic Riccati equation:

 $\mathbf{A^TS} + \mathbf{SA} - \mathbf{SBR^{-1}B^TS} + \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$

Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR) – Double Redux!

The LQR method minimizes a cost function J' that weighs the squares of observer state errors $\widehat{\mathbf{e}}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) - \widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ and observer output errors $y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)$.

$$J' = \int_0^\infty \left(\mathbf{e}(t)^T \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{e}(t) + (y(t) - \widehat{y}(t))^T \, \mathbf{R} \, (y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)) \right) dt$$

where $(y(t) {-} \widehat{y}(t))$ and $\mathbf{e}(t)$ are related

- by a state transition equation: $\dot{\mathbf{e}}(t)=\mathbf{A}\mathbf{e}(t)-\mathbf{L}(y(t)-\widehat{y}(t))$ and
- by the feedback constraint: $y(t) \hat{y}(t) = \mathbf{Ce}(t)$.

and ${\bf Q}$ and ${\bf R}$ represent weights.

The "optimal" \boldsymbol{L} is given by

 $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{S}$

where S is the symmetric $n \times n$ solution to the algebraic Riccati equation:

 $\mathbf{AS} + \mathbf{SA^T} - \mathbf{SC^TR^{-1}CS} + \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$

Choosing K and L

Since optimizing K and L can be cast into problems with the same form, the optimizations can be solved using the same methods.

```
K = place(A,B,[poles])
```

```
L = transpose(place(transpose(A),transpose(C),[poles]))
```

or

K = lqr(A,B,Qk,Rk)

```
L = transpose(lqr(transpose(A),transpose(C),Ql,Rl))
```

Example: propeller arm (lab 6)





Substitute A, B, and C into the observer framework.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Plant dynamics:} & \dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_ry_d(t) \\ \mbox{Simulation dynamics:} & \dot{\widehat{\mathbf{x}}}(t) = \mathbf{A}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}\widehat{\mathbf{x}}(t) + \mathbf{B}K_ry_d(t) + \mathbf{L}(y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)) \\ \end{array}$



Effect of increasing Q_k 's.



Responses of plant and observer match. Why? Responses for different Q_k 's match. Why?

Effect of increasing $Q_k[0]$, $Q_k[1]$, and $Q_k[2]$ – one at a time.



Which column shows

Qk:100,1,1?

Qk:1,100,1?

Qk:1,1,100?

Effect of increasing Q_l 's.



Responses of plant and observer match. Why? Responses for different Q_l 's match. Why?

Observer Mismatch

What if the observer does not match the plant? What if the red B is different from the green B?



Effect of increasing Q_k 's when γ_a for plant is half that for observer.



Increasing **K**'s by increasing Q_k 's has little effect on mismatch. Why?

Effect of increasing Q_l 's when γ_a for plant is half that for observer.



Increasing L's by increasing Q_l 's improves match (especially for $\theta(t)$).

Effect of increasing Q_l 's one at a time.



Better to assess ${f L}$ using differences between plant and observer variables.

To choose **K**, we minimize a **cost function** J that weighs the squares of the state variables $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and input $\mathbf{u}(t)$:

$$J = \int_0^\infty \left(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) \, \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{T}}(t) \, \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}(t) \right) dt$$

The most effective ${\bf K}$ is the one that minimizes the squared values of ${\bf x}(t)$ and ${\bf u}(t).$

To choose **L**, we minimize a cost function J' that weighs the squares of observer state errors $\mathbf{e}(t) = \mathbf{x}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t)$ and observer output errors $y(t) - \hat{y}(t)$:

$$J' = \int_0^\infty \left(\mathbf{e}(t)^T \mathbf{Q} \, \mathbf{e}(t) + (y(t) - \widehat{y}(t))^T \, \mathbf{R} \, (y(t) - \widehat{y}(t)) \right) dt$$

The most effective ${\bf L}$ is the one that minimizes the squared values of ${\bf e}(t)$ and $y(t)-\widehat{y}(t).$

Effect of increasing Q_l 's one at a time.



Which column shows

Q1:100,0,0? Q1:0,100,0?

Q1:0,0,100?

Next Time

No lecture on Wednesday. No office hours on Wednesday. Happy Thanksgiving!